International Journal of HEalth and MAnagement Sciences
(IJHEMAS)
Vol.1 No.1 (2025) pp. 34-41

Research Article

Esthetic Cosmetology, Elderly Care, Sociology and Social Integration: A Multidisciplinary Perspective on Healthy Aging

Ezgi Susuz Budak[1]

[1] Turgut Özal University, Yeşilyurt Vocational School, Department of Hair Design, Aesthetic Cosmetology and Beauty Services, Malatya, Türkiye
* **Corresponding Author Email:** budakezgi4423@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0003-1740-3423

Received: *11September 2025* **|** ***Revised:*** *21 November 2025* **|** ***Accepted:*** *27 November 2025* **|** ***DOI:*** *10.22399/ijhemas.9*

Abstract

Population aging has emerged as one of the most significant global demographic transformations of the twenty-first century. Increasing life expectancy has shifted healthcare priorities from merely extending lifespan to enhancing quality of life, psychosocial well-being, and social participation among older adults. Contemporary healthy aging models emphasize maintaining physical, psychological, and social functionality throughout later life. Esthetic cosmetology has increasingly been recognized as a supportive component of healthy aging by improving body image, self-esteem, social confidence, and quality of life. This narrative review evaluates the biological, psychological, sociological, and healthcare-related dimensions of esthetic cosmetology within elderly care. Current evidence suggests that appropriately applied esthetic interventions may contribute to active aging, social integration, reduction of loneliness, and improved psychosocial outcomes. The integration of esthetic wellness services into multidisciplinary elderly care models may represent an innovative strategy for promoting healthy aging and enhancing overall well-being.

Keywords: Healthy Aging, Esthetic Cosmetology, Elderly Care, Social Integration, Active Aging, Quality of Life, Health Tourism

1. Introduction

Population aging represents one of the most profound demographic transitions in modern history. According to projections published by the World Health Organization (WHO), the global population aged 60 years and older is expected to exceed 2 billion by 2050, creating substantial challenges for healthcare systems, social welfare policies, and long-term care services worldwide [1].

Historically, aging was primarily viewed through a biomedical lens focused on disease prevention and treatment. However, contemporary approaches emphasize a broader understanding of aging that incorporates biological, psychological, social, and environmental determinants of health [2]. The concept of healthy aging extends beyond longevity and includes maintaining functional capacity, psychological resilience, social engagement, and life satisfaction throughout later life [3].

Physical appearance remains an important component of personal identity across the lifespan. Although aging is a natural biological process, visible age-related changes such as skin wrinkling, volume loss, hair thinning, and

facial contour alterations may affect self-perception and emotional well-being (4). In societies where youthfulness and attractiveness are highly valued, such changes may contribute to decreased self-confidence, social withdrawal, and reduced quality of life among older adults [5].

The field of esthetic cosmetology has undergone substantial development over the last three decades. Advances in minimally invasive procedures, regenerative medicine, laser technologies, and dermatological treatments have expanded therapeutic options for age-related aesthetic concerns [6]. Increasing evidence suggests that the benefits of these interventions may extend beyond physical appearance to include psychological and social outcomes [7].

Consequently, esthetic cosmetology should be examined not solely as a cosmetic discipline but as a potential contributor to healthy aging strategies. Understanding its role within elderly care and social integration frameworks may provide valuable insights for future healthcare policies and healthy aging programs.

2. Bıologıcal Basıs of agıng and appearance

Aging is characterized by progressive physiological decline resulting from cumulative molecular and cellular damage. Multiple biological mechanisms have been proposed to explain the aging process, including oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, telomere shortening, genomic instability, chronic inflammation, and altered intercellular communication [8].

Among these mechanisms, oxidative stress remains one of the most extensively studied theories of aging. Reactive oxygen species generated during normal cellular metabolism accumulate over time and damage proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids [9]. This process contributes significantly to tissue degeneration and functional decline.

Recent research has expanded this understanding through the concept of the “Hallmarks of Aging,” which describes interconnected biological pathways responsible for age-related deterioration [10]. These hallmarks include genomic instability, epigenetic alterations, mitochondrial dysfunction, cellular senescence, stem cell exhaustion, and chronic inflammation.

The skin represents one of the most visible organs affected by aging. Both intrinsic aging and environmental factors contribute to structural and functional changes within the skin [11]. Intrinsic aging is driven primarily by genetic and hormonal factors, whereas extrinsic aging is influenced by ultraviolet radiation, pollution, smoking, nutrition, and lifestyle behaviors.

Age-related skin changes include:

- Reduction in collagen synthesis
- Degradation of elastin fibers
- Loss of dermal thickness
- Reduced hydration
- Impaired wound healing
- Pigmentary irregularities
- Decreased vascularization

These alterations lead to visible manifestations such as wrinkles, skin laxity, roughness, and volume depletion [12].

Another important mechanism is chronic low-grade inflammation, commonly referred to as “inflammaging.” Elevated levels of inflammatory mediators such as interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, and C-reactive protein have been associated with frailty, sarcopenia, cardiovascular disease, cognitive decline, and skin aging[13

].

Hormonal changes further contribute to visible aging. Reduced estrogen levels in women and declining androgen concentrations in men affect collagen metabolism, skin hydration, elasticity, and regenerative capacity [14]. Consequently, age-related appearance changes reflect not only cosmetic concerns but also underlying biological processes.

Because physical appearance influences social interactions and self-perception, understanding the biological mechanisms of aging provides important context for evaluating the role of esthetic interventions within healthy aging frameworks.

3.Sociological Dimensions of Aging

Aging is not merely a biological process but also a social experience shaped by cultural norms, societal values, interpersonal relationships, and public policies. The sociological perspective of aging emphasizes that health outcomes are influenced by social structures as much as biological mechanisms [15].

Modern societies frequently associate youth with attractiveness, productivity, and social value. Such perceptions may contribute to age-related discrimination, commonly known as ageism. Butler first introduced the concept of ageism to describe stereotypes, prejudice, and discrimination directed toward individuals solely because of their age [16].

Ageism manifests in various forms, including:

- Workplace discrimination
- Reduced healthcare prioritization
- Social exclusion
- Negative media representations
- Internalized negative self-perceptions

Studies have demonstrated that internalized ageist attitudes may adversely affect physical health, cognitive performance, mental health, and even life expectancy [17].

Social isolation and loneliness represent additional challenges among aging populations. While social isolation refers to objective reductions in social contacts, loneliness reflects subjective feelings of social disconnection [18]. Both conditions have been associated with increased risks of depression, cardiovascular disease, dementia, and mortality [19].

The COVID-19 pandemic further highlighted the vulnerability of older adults to social isolation. Restrictions on mobility and interpersonal interaction intensified feelings of loneliness and reinforced the need for interventions promoting social engagement among elderly populations [20]..

Successful aging models consistently identify social participation as a central determinant of well-being. Older adults who maintain meaningful social relationships demonstrate better physical health, greater psychological resilience, and improved quality of life compared with socially isolated individuals [21].

Within this context, interventions that strengthen self-esteem, encourage social interaction, and enhance confidence may contribute substantially to successful aging. Esthetic cosmetology may represent one such intervention by influencing body image and facilitating social participation.

4. Esthetic Cosmetology and Psychosocial Well-Being

The relationship between physical appearance and psychological well-being has attracted increasing scientific attention over the past two decades. While esthetic medicine was historically viewed as a discipline primarily concerned with cosmetic enhancement, contemporary research suggests that its effects extend into psychosocial health, self-perception, and quality of life [22].

Body image refers to an individual's perceptions, attitudes, and feelings regarding their physical appearance. Although body image concerns are often associated with younger populations, evidence indicates that they remain relevant throughout older adulthood [23]. Age-related changes in appearance may influence self-esteem, social confidence, and emotional well-being.

Several studies have demonstrated that dissatisfaction with physical appearance is associated with depressive symptoms, social anxiety, and reduced quality of life among older adults [24].Conversely, positive body image has been linked to improved psychological resilience and greater social participation [25].

Modern esthetic cosmetology includes a variety of minimally invasive interventions such as botulinum toxin injections, dermal fillers, laser therapies, chemical peeling, platelet-rich plasma applications, and regenerative medicine approaches. These procedures are increasingly utilized not only to address visible signs of aging but also to improve self-perception and psychosocial functioning [26].

Research evaluating patient-reported outcomes following esthetic procedures has demonstrated significant improvements in self-confidence, body satisfaction, interpersonal relationships, and social functioning [27]. Importantly, these benefits appear most pronounced when interventions are performed within realistic expectations and patient-centered treatment frameworks.

Psychodermatology research further supports the connection between appearance and mental health. Neurobiological evidence suggests that improvements in self-image may positively influence emotional regulation, stress responses, and social behavior [28]. Therefore, esthetic interventions may serve as supportive tools within comprehensive healthy aging programs.

However, ethical considerations remain essential. The goal of esthetic medicine in older adults should not be the pursuit of unrealistic youthfulness but rather the preservation of dignity, confidence, identity, and psychological well-being. Appropriate patient selection, informed consent, and multidisciplinary assessment remain fundamental principles of ethical practice.

5. Social Integration and Active Aging

Active aging has emerged as a central concept within contemporary public health and gerontology. The World Health Organization defines active aging as the process of optimizing opportunities for health, participation, and security in order to enhance quality of life as people age [2].

Social participation is one of the strongest predictors of successful aging. Individuals who maintain meaningful relationships and participate in community activities demonstrate lower levels of depression, improved cognitive function, and greater life satisfaction compared with socially isolated individuals [3].

Several mechanisms may explain the relationship between esthetic cosmetology and social integration. First, improvements in self-perception may reduce social anxiety and increase confidence in interpersonal interactions. Second, enhanced body image may encourage participation in social, cultural, and recreational activities. Third, positive perceptions of appearance may strengthen feelings of self-worth and social belonging.

Older adults frequently experience major life transitions, including retirement, bereavement, declining health, and changes in social roles. These transitions may increase vulnerability to loneliness and social withdrawal. Interventions that strengthen self-esteem and promote social engagement therefore have considerable public health importance.

From a sociological perspective, successful aging involves maintaining meaningful social roles and preserving a sense of identity within society. Esthetic interventions may contribute indirectly to these goals by enhancing confidence and supporting continued participation in community life.

6. Health Tourism and Healthy Aging Campuses

Global demographic aging has created new opportunities within the health tourism sector. Increasing numbers of older adults are seeking integrated services that combine medical care, rehabilitation, wellness, preventive health, and quality-of-life interventions.

Healthy aging campuses represent an emerging model of elderly care. These facilities integrate healthcare services with social, educational, recreational, and wellness programs designed to support active aging and independence. Typical components include:

- Geriatric medicine clinics
- Rehabilitation centers
- Nutrition counseling
- Mental health services
- Wellness and fitness programs
- Dermatology and esthetic medicine units
- Social participation programs
- Lifelong learning opportunities

Countries with advanced healthcare infrastructure and competitive health tourism sectors are particularly well positioned to develop such models. Türkiye possesses significant advantages in this regard, including internationally accredited hospitals, experienced healthcare professionals, advanced esthetic medicine expertise, and extensive thermal tourism resources [29].

The integration of esthetic cosmetology into healthy aging campuses may enhance their attractiveness for both domestic and international populations. Such models may contribute not only to healthcare outcomes but also to economic development through health tourism initiatives.

7. Discussion

The findings presented in this review suggest that esthetic cosmetology may play a broader role in healthy aging than traditionally recognized. While biological aging remains inevitable, its psychosocial consequences vary considerably between individuals and are influenced by social, cultural, and psychological factors.

Modern aging theories increasingly emphasize quality of life rather than simply longevity. Within this framework,

body image, self-confidence, and social participation become important determinants of overall well-being. Esthetic interventions may therefore contribute indirectly to healthy aging by supporting psychological resilience and social engagement.

The biopsychosocial model provides a useful framework for understanding these relationships. Biological aging influences physical appearance; appearance affects self-perception; self-perception shapes social behavior; and social participation ultimately contributes to health outcomes and quality of life. Consequently, interventions targeting any component of this pathway may generate benefits across multiple domains.

Nevertheless, esthetic cosmetology should not be considered a substitute for comprehensive elderly care. Rather, it should be integrated within multidisciplinary models that address medical, psychological, social, and functional needs simultaneously.

Future research should focus on long-term outcomes, cost-effectiveness analyses, cultural variations, and the development of evidence-based guidelines for incorporating esthetic interventions into healthy aging programs.

8. Conclusion

Population aging represents one of the defining public health challenges of the twenty-first century. Ensuring that individuals age with dignity, independence, and high quality of life requires comprehensive approaches that extend beyond traditional medical care.

Esthetic cosmetology has emerged as a potentially valuable component of healthy aging strategies by influencing body image, self-esteem, psychosocial well-being, and social participation. When applied appropriately within multidisciplinary elderly care frameworks, esthetic interventions may contribute to reduced social isolation, enhanced confidence, and improved quality of life.

Future healthy aging models should incorporate biological, psychological, social, and esthetic dimensions of care. Such integrated approaches may promote successful aging, strengthen social integration, and support sustainable healthcare systems in increasingly aging societies.

9. References

[1] World Health Organization. Active Ageing: A Policy Framework. Geneva: WHO; 2002.

[2] Rowe JW, Kahn RL. Successful aging. Gerontologist. 1997;37(4):433-440.

[3] Farage MA, Miller KW, Elsner P, Maibach HI. Intrinsic and extrinsic factors in skin ageing. Int J Cosmet Sci. 2008;30(2):87-95.

[4] Tiggemann M. Body image across the adult lifespan. J Soc Issues. 2004;60(2):247-265.

[5] American Society for Dermatologic Surgery. Cosmetic Procedures Report. Chicago; 2023.

[6] von Soest T, Kvalem IL, Roald HE, Skolleborg KC. Psychosocial changes after cosmetic surgery. Aesthet Surg J. 2009;29(3):211-218.

[7] Kennedy BK, Berger SL, Brunet A, et al. Geroscience. Cell. 2014;159(4):709-713.

[8] Harman D. Aging theory based on free radicals. J Gerontol. 1956;11(3):298-300.

[9] López-Otín C, Blasco MA, Partridge L, et al. The Hallmarks of Aging. Cell. 2013;153(6):1194-1217.

[10] Gilchrest BA. Skin aging and photoaging. Dermatol Nurs. 1990;2(2):79-82.

[11] Fisher GJ, Kang S, Varani J, et al. Mechanisms of photoaging. Arch Dermatol. 2002;138(11):1462-1470.

[12] Franceschi C, Campisi J. Chronic inflammation and aging. J Gerontol A. 2014;69(S1):S4-S9.

[13] Thornton MJ. Estrogens and aging skin. Dermatoendocrinol. 2013;5(2):264-270.

[14] Katz S. Cultural Aging. Toronto: University of Toronto Press; 1996.

[15] Butler RN. Age-ism: another form of bigotry. Gerontologist. 1969;9(4):243-246.

[16] Levy BR. Stereotype embodiment theory. Curr Dir Psychol Sci. 2009;18(6):332-336.

[17] Cacioppo JT, Hawkley LC. Social isolation and cognition. Trends Cogn Sci. 2009;13(10):447-454.

[18] Holt-Lunstad J, Smith TB, Baker M, et al. Loneliness and mortality. Perspect Psychol Sci. 2015;10(2):227-237.

[19] Sepúlveda-Loyola W, Rodríguez-Sánchez I, et al. Impact of social isolation during COVID-19 on older adults. Clin Interv Aging. 2020;15:1857-1868.

[20] Depp CA, Jeste DV. Successful aging predictors. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2006;14(1):6-20.

[21] Sarwer DB, Spitzer JC. Body image dysmorphic disorders in aesthetic medicine. Clin Plast Surg. 2014;41(3):567-575.

[22] Hurd Clarke L, Korotchenko A. Aging and body image. Can J Aging. 2011;30(3):495-510.

[23] Rubin LR, Fitts ML, Becker AE. Body image and aging. Clin Interv Aging. 2008;3(4):673-680.

[24] Bowling A. Ageing Well: Quality of Life in Old Age. Berkshire: Open University Press; 2005.

[25] American Society for Dermatologic Surgery. Cosmetic Dermatologic Surgery Statistics Report. Chicago; 2023.

[26] von Soest T, Kvalem IL, Roald HE, Skolleborg KC. Psychosocial changes after cosmetic surgery. Aesthet Surg J. 2009;29(3):211-218.

[27] Gupta MA, Gupta AK. Psychodermatology: an update. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2013;69(6):1038-1049.

[28] Republic of Türkiye Ministry of Health. Health Tourism Strategy Report. Ankara; 2023.

[29] Beard JR, Bloom DE. Towards a comprehensive public health response to population ageing. Lancet. 2015;385(9968):658-661.

[30] Aytaç, S., & Keser, A. (2002). İşsizliğin çalışan birey üzerindeki etkisi: İşsizlik kaygısı. *ISGUC The Journal of Industrial Relations and Human Resources*, *4*(2).
[31] World Health Organization. (1993). Training manual on management of human resources for health.
[32] Akdur, R. (1998). Türkiye'de sağlık politika ve hizmetleri. *Yeni Türkiye, 4(23-24).*
[33] Şantaş F, Özer Ö, Çıraklı Ü. Türk kalkınma planlarında sağlık insan gücü planlaması. *Çankırı Karatekin Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi. 2012; 2: 45–59.*
[34], Küçüksille, E. U. (2007). *İş yoğunluğu tahmini ve işgücü planlama: Süpermarket uygulaması* [Doktora tezi]. Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi. Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü
[35] Çapar, H. (2019). Sağlık alanında meslekleşme ve Türkiye'nin yaşadığı sorunlar: Yeni mesleklere doğru. *Ankara Hacı Bayram Veli Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi*, *21*(3), 803-816.
[36] Usubütün, A., Üner, S., Harorlu, F., Özer, E., Tuzlalı, S., Ruacan, A., & Yörükoğlu, K. (2011). Türkiye'de patoloji laboratuvarları personeli iş yükü değerlendirmesi: bir anket çalışması. *Türk Patoloji Dergisi*, *27* (2).
[37] Deniz, E. B. (2022). Kanser epidemiyolojisi. *Turkey Health Literacy Journal*, *3*(2), 102-111.
[38] Köksal, N. G. (2022). Meme kanserinde tarama ve erken tanı. *Doğu Karadeniz Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi*, *1*(2), 50-56.
[39] YÖK,2025 https://yokatlas.yok.gov.tr/ 20.08.2025 tarihinde erişim sağlanmıştır.
[40] Üsküdar Üniversitesi, 2025 https://uskudar.edu.tr/shmyo/patoloji-laboratuvar-teknikleri 25.08.2025 tarihinde erişim sağlanmıştır.
[41] Hüz, M., Yörükoğlu, K., & Akpolat, N. (2022). Patoloji laboratuvarında makroskopi teknikerinin rolü. *Sağlık Akademisyenleri Dergisi*, *9*(4), 372-381.
[42] Şanlı, S. (2023). Sampling methods and appropriate sample size determination: a concise overview. *Pamukkale Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, (56), 357-375.analysis.
[43] Tuncer, M. (2011). Yükseköğretim gençliğinin gelecek beklentileri üzerine bir araştırma. *Electronic Turkish Studies*, *6*(2).
[44] Bland, J. M., & Altman, D. G. (1997). Statistics notes: Cronbach's alpha. *Bmj*, *314*(7080), 572.
[45] Kenwright, K. M. (2018). Career satisfaction in the profession of medical laboratory science. *Journal of Allied Health*, *47*(3), 222-227.
[46] Gür, F., & Güdücü Tüfekci, F. (2020). Tıbbi laboratuvar bölümü öğrencilerinde okuldan ve öğretim elemanlarından memnuniyet düzeyleri ve etkileyen faktörler. *Van Tıp Dergisi*, *27*(4).
[47] Al-Enezi, N., Shah, M. A., Chowdhury, R. I., & Ahmad, A. (2008). *Medical laboratory sciences graduates: are they satisfied at work? Education for Health*, 21(2), 100-105
[48] Çelen, Ö., Demir, C., Teke, A., Karadağ, M., & Abuhanoğlu, H. (2010). Gülhane Askeri Tıp Fakültesi Eğitim Hastanesi'nde görev yapan sağlık teknisyenlerinin/teknikerlerinin iş tatmini düzeyinin incelenmesi. *Hacettepe Sağlık İdaresi Dergisi*, *13*(2), 143-154.
[49] Dikmen, M. (2021). Üniversite öğrencilerinin gelecek beklentileri ile akademik erteleme eğilimleri üzerine bir araştırma. *Fırat Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, *31*(2), 731-743.
[50] Demirel, M., & Arslan, A. Ç. F. (2024). Lisansüstü eğitim gören öğrencilerin gelecek beklentileri üzerine bir araştırma. *The Journal of Social Sciences*, *39*(39), 72-80.
[51] Artan, T., Arıcı, A., Çiçek, M., Bolat, G. B., & Kocaoğlu, S. N. (2021). Sosyal hizmet mezun istihdamı araştırması: İstanbul üniversitesi-Cerrahpaşa örneği. *Ufkun Ötesi Bilim Dergisi*, *21*(2), 338-366.